arXiv:1512.04627v1 [math.CO] 15 Dec 2015

Positivity of affine charge

Avinash J. Dalal

Abstract

The branching of $k-1$-Schur functions into k-Schur functions was given by Lapointe, Lam, Morse and Shimozono as chains in a poset on k-shapes. The k-Schur functions are the parameterless case of a more general family of symmetric functions over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, conjectured to satisfy a k-branching formula given by weights on the k-shape poset. A concept of a (co)charge on a k-tableau was defined by Lapointe and Pinto. Although it is not manifestly positive, they prove it is compatible with the k-shape poset for standard k-tableau and the positivity follows. Morse introduced a manifestly positive notion of affine (co)charge on k-tableaux and conjectured that it matches the statistic of Lapointe-Pinto. Here we prove her conjecture and the positivity of k-(co)charge for semi-standard tableaux follows.

1 Introduction

The Macdonald basis for the space of symmetric functions is at the center of topics such as double affine Hecke algebras, quantum relativistic systems, diagonal harmonics and Hilbert schemes on points in the plane. The study was initiated by the work of Macdonald when he conjectured [Mac88] non-negativity of the q,t-polynomial coefficients in terms of a shifted basis of Schur functions. Garsia rephrased his conjecture using a modification of Macdonald's polynomials, $H_\mu(x;q,t)$, as

$$H_\mu(x;q,t) = \sum_\lambda K_{\lambda\mu}(q,t)s_\lambda(x)\,,\ \textit{where}\ K_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]\,. \tag{1}$$

As such, the q,t-Kostka polynomials gained representation theoretic significance and a combinatorial formula has long been sought.

When $q=0$, $K_{\lambda\mu}(0,t)$ are the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. These polynomials appear in connection with Hall-Littlewood polynomials [Gre55], affine Kazhdan-Lusztig theory [Lus81], affine tensor product multiplicities [NY97], and they also encode the dimensions of bigraded S_n-modules [GP92]. In [LS78], Lascoux and Schützenberger combinatorially characterized these polynomials by associating a non-negative integer statistic called charge to each semi-standard Young tableau and proved that

$$K_{\lambda\mu}(0,t) = \sum_{T\in SSYT(\lambda,\mu)} t^{\mathrm{charge}(T)}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $SSYT(\lambda, \mu)$ is the set of semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ and weight μ.

While studying the Macdonald polynomials, a new family of symmetric functions, $s_\mu^{(k)}(x;t)$, called k-atoms was introduced in [LLM03]. Empirical evidence suggested that, for any positive integer k, these functions are indexed by a partition μ whose parts are not larger than k and

$$s_\mu^{(k)}(x;t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}_\mu^{(k)}} t^{charge(T)} s_{shape(T)}(x)\,,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{(k)}$ is a certain set of tableaux of weight μ. One striking observation they made was that for any partition λ whose parts are not larger than k,

$$H_\lambda(x;q,t) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \\ \mu_1 \le k}} K_{\mu\lambda}^{(k)}(q,t) s_\mu^{(k)}(x;t)\,, \text{ where } K_{\mu\lambda}^{(k)}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]\,.$$

Another observed feature of k-atoms is that for large values of k, $s_\mu^{(k)}(x;t) = s_\mu(x;t)$, and thus $K_{\mu\lambda}^{(k)}(x;t)$ reduces to the q,t-Kostka polynomials in (1). This observation inspired the conjecture in [LLM03] that the k-atoms expand positively in terms of $(k+1)$-atoms:

$$s_\lambda^{(k)}(x;t) = \sum_\mu b_{\lambda\mu}^{(k\to k+1)}(t) s_\mu(x;t)\,, \tag{3}$$

where the k-branching coefficients, $b_{\lambda\mu}^{(k\to k+1)}(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t]$. In [LLMS12], a poset on partitions called k-shapes was introduced and it was conjectured that the k-branching coefficients enumerate maximal chains in this poset modulo an equivalence. The result was proven therein for $t = 1$.

A massive effort towards the generic t case was put forth by Lapointe and Pinto [LP14]. A key focus in their work is the introduction of a statistic on the set of objects (k-tableaux) whose enumeration is $K_{\lambda\mu}^{(k)}(1,1)$. The statistic called k-charge is conjectured to give, for partition λ with $\lambda_1 \le k$,

$$H_\lambda(x;t) = \sum_T t^{k\text{-charge}(T)} s_{\text{shape}(T)}^{(k)}(x;t)\,, \tag{4}$$

where T is a k-tableau of weight λ. As evidence to support their definition of k-charge, [LP14] prove that the k-charge for a standard k-tableau is compatible with the weak bijection introduced in [LLMS12].

Theorem 1. *[LP14] The weak bijection in the standard case*

$$SWTab_\lambda^k \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mu \in C^k} SWTab_\mu^{k-1} \times \overline{\mathcal{P}}^k(\lambda,\mu)$$

is given by $T^{(k)} \longmapsto (T^{(k-1)}, [\mathbf{p}])$*, where* $T^{(k)}$ *is a k-tableau,* $SWTab_\lambda^k$ *is the set of all standard k-tableau of shape* λ *and* $[\mathbf{p}]$ *is a certain equivalence class of paths in the k-shapes poset, is such that*

$$k\text{-}charge(T^{(k)}) = k'\text{-}charge(T^{(k-1)}) + charge([\mathbf{p}])\,,$$

where $k' = k-1$ *and* $charge([\mathbf{p}])$ *is the charge of the path* $\mathbf{p}$.

A consequence of the weak bijection is that the charge of a standard tableau on n letters is the sum of the charge on the corresponding paths in the k-shapes poset, for $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. Namely, iterating the weak bijection starting with a standard tableau T on n letters gives

$$T \longmapsto (T^{(n-1)}, [\mathbf{p}_n]), \quad T^{(n-1)} \longmapsto (T^{(n-2)}, [\mathbf{p}_{n-1}]), \quad \ldots, \quad T^{(2)} \longmapsto (T^{(1)}, [\mathbf{p}_2]),$$

which puts T in correspondence with $(T^{(1)}, [\mathbf{p}_n], [\mathbf{p}_{n-1}], \ldots, [\mathbf{p}_2])$. Since there is a unique 1-tableau $T^{(1)}$, then T is in correspondence with the equivalence of paths $([\mathbf{p}_n], [\mathbf{p}_{n-1}], \ldots, [\mathbf{p}_2])$. Finally, the k-charge of $T^{(1)}$ being 0, and the compatibility between the k-charge and the weak bijection in the standard case implies

$$charge(T) = charge([\mathbf{p}_n]) + charge([\mathbf{p}_{n-1}]) + \cdots + charge([\mathbf{p}_2]).$$

Lapointe and Pinto naturally define a complementary k-cocharge statistic as well. Although their statistics are not obviously non-negative, in the case of standard k-tableaux, the non-negativity follows from compatibility with the weak bijection [LP14]. The non-negativity of k-(co)charge for any semi-standard k-tableaux was unresolved.

In private communication with Morse [Mor15] (see also [Tho14]), she defined manifestly non-negative statistics on k-tableaux and conjectured them to be the k-(co)charge. Here we prove her conjecture and as a consequence prove that the k-(co)charge of any semi-standard k-tableau is non-negative.

2 Related Work

Since the inception of k-atoms in [LLM03], many articles concerning $s_\lambda^{(k)}(x;t)$ have appeared. While most consider only the parameterless case when $t = 1$, in addition to the work of Lapointe-Pinto just discussed, there have been a number of other achievements in full generality. In [Bla11], Blasiak conjectures that k-atoms can be characterized by catabolizability conditions and connects them to representation theory. In [MS15], $K_{\lambda\mu}^{(k)}(0,t)$ is shown to be an expression over solvable lattice models by Nakayashiki and Yamada. The intense study [AB12] of covers in the Bruhat order on the affine symmetric group sheds light on the conjectured characterization for k-atoms [LLMS10] as generating functions for marked saturated chains. The work of [DM15] introduces a notion of affine charge on affine Bruhat counter-tableaux, objects in bijection with k-tableaux, and definitively proves that Macdonald polynomials and quantum and affine Schubert calculus are interconnected.

3 Prelimiaries

A *composition* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is a vector of positive integers. A *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ is a composition such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m$. The length of λ, denoted $\ell(\lambda)$, is the number of

parts in λ, and the sum of the parts of λ is denoted $|\lambda|$. A partition of length m whose parts are all 1 will be denoted (1^m). A function on a partition λ that we will use is

$$n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} (i-1)\lambda_i \, .$$

Every partition λ has a corresponding *Ferrer's diagram*, which has λ_i lattice cells in the ith row for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$.

Example 2. *The partition* $\lambda = (5, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ *has the corresponding Ferrer's diagram*



If $\lambda \subseteq \mu$, then the skew shape μ/λ are those cells of μ which are not in λ. Any cell c of a Ferrer's diagram located in the ith row from the bottom and j^{th} column from the left can be written as $c = (i, j)$. For a given cell $c = (i, j)$ of a partition λ, the *hook-length* of c, $h_\lambda(c)$, is the number of cells in the ith row to the right of c plus the number of cells in the jth column above c plus 1 to include c.

It is certain subsets of the set of partitions that are central in our study. For a positive integer $n > 1$, an *n-core* is a partition whose shape has no cells of hook-length n. Given any cell $c = (i, j)$ of an n-core, the *n-residue* of c, or the $res(c)$, is $(j - i) \mod n$. This tells us that the n-core λ has cells whose residues are periodically labelled with $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, where zeros are the residues of the cells on the main diagonal.

Example 3. *An example of a* 5*-core whose cells are labelled with* 5*-residues is*



A crucial proposition on cores that we will use comes from the work of L. Lapointe, A. Lascoux and J. Morse [LLM03, LM05a]. We say that a cell (i, j) of a partition λ is called an *extremal cell* if $(i+1, j+1) \notin \lambda$.

Proposition 4. *[LM05a] Let λ be a n-core, where c and c' are extremal cells of λ with the same n-residue.*

1. *If c' is weakly north-west of c and c is at the end of its row, then c' is at the end of its row.*
2. *If c' is weakly south-east of c and c is at the top of its column, then c' is at the top of its column.*

One immediate consequence of Proposition 4 is a simple remark that will be quite useful. For any n-core λ, an *addable corner* of λ is a cell $(i, j) \notin \lambda$ with $(i, j-1), (i-1, j) \in \lambda$, and a *removable corner* of λ is a cell $(i, j) \in \lambda$ with $(i, j+1), (i+1, j) \notin \lambda$.

Remark 5. *[LM05a] An n-core λ never has both a removable corner and an addable corner of the same n-residue.*

For a positive integer k, a special filling of a $(k+1)$-core, called *k-tableaux*, was introduced in [LM05b] to describe Pieri-type rules which the *k-Schur functions* satisfy at $t = 1$. Furthermore, at $t = 1$, the *dual k-Schur functions* are the generating functions for these k-tableaux of a given $(k+1)$-core shape.

Definition 6. *For a positive integer k, let λ be a $(k+1)$-core with m k-bounded hooks and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ be a composition of m, where no α_i is larger than k. A k-tableau of shape λ and weight α is a tableau of shape λ filled with integers $1, 2, \ldots, r$ such that the collection of cells filled with letter i are labeled by exactly α_i distinct $(k+1)$-residues.*

Example 7. *For $k = 3$, a k-tableau T of shape 4-core $(5, 2, 1)$ and weight $(2, 2, 2)$ is*

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \cline{2-2} \multicolumn{1}{c|}{} & 3_2 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{} \\ \hline 2_3 & 3_0 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{} \\ \hline 1_0 & 1_1 & 2_2 & 2_3 & 3_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

We have labeled the $(k+1)$-residue's of the cells as the sub-scripts on the letters filling them.

Example 8. *For $k = 3$, the only two k-tableau of weight $(3, 2, 1)$ are*

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2_3 & 2_0 & 3_1 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{} \\ \hline 1_0 & 1_1 & 1_2 & 2_3 & 2_0 & 3_1 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \& \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \cline{2-2} \multicolumn{1}{c|}{} & 3_2 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{} \\ \hline 2_3 & 2_0 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{} \\ \hline 1_0 & 1_1 & 1_2 & 2_3 & 2_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

A key focus in our work will be on standard k-tableaux, those of weight (1^m).

Example 9. *For $k = 2$, all standard k-tableau of weight (1^4) are*

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \cline{1-2} 3_2 & 4_0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \hline 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 & 4_0 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \cline{1-1} 4_1 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \hline 3_2 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \hline 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \cline{1-1} 3_1 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \hline 2_2 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \hline 1_0 & 3_1 & 4_0 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \cline{1-1} 4_0 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \hline 3_1 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \hline 2_2 & 4_0 \\ \hline 1_0 & 3_1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

One intrinsic property of a k-tableau is in its shape when the parameter k is taken sufficiently large. A k-tableau of shape $(k+1)$-core λ has no cells of hook-length larger than k if and only if $k > \lambda_1 + \ell(\lambda) - 2$. For these large values of k, the k-tableau is a semi-standard tableau. As a result, when a k-tableau has shape $(k+1)$-core λ whose cells have hook-length less than $k+1$, the charge and a cocharge from [LS78] can be computed on that k-tableau. Our focus is on two statistics which apply to a k-tableau for any $k > 0$.

4 k-cocharge of a standard k-tableau

From here on, we will always assume that k is a positive integer, and we will label the $(k+1)$-residues, or just residues, of the cells of a k-tableau as the sub-scripts on the letters filling them. Furthermore, the weight of our k-tableau will be a partition α whose parts are not larger than k. The k-cocharge statistic on k-tableaux is first described for a standard k-tableau. Important to the definition is the number of diagonals of a specific residue between two cells.

Definition 10. *Given two cells c_1 and c_2 of a $(k+1)$-core, let $diag(c_1, c_2)$ be the number of diagonals of reside r that are strictly between c_1 and c_2 where r is the residue of the lower cell.*

Example 11. *For $k = 4$, a standard k-tableau of weight (1^9) is*

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\cline{1-1} 8_2 \\ \cline{1-2} 5_3 & 7_4 \\ \cline{1-2} 4_4 & 6_0 \\ \hline 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 & 5_3 & 7_4 & 9_0 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad diag(4_4, 3_2) = 0, \text{ and } diag(8_2, (1,5)) = 1.$$

When it is well-defined to do so, functions defined with a cell as input can instead take a letter as input. In particular, for standard k-tableaux it is natural to discuss the residue of a specific letter (since any cell containing that letter has the same residue) instead of the residue of a specific cell.

Definition 12. *Given a standard k-tableau T of weight (1^m), the lowest occurrence of i will be denoted $i^{\downarrow}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Define the index vector $L(T) = [L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_m]$ recursively by setting $L_1 = 0$, and*

$$L_i = \begin{cases} L_{i-1} + 1 + diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) & \text{if } (i-1)^{\downarrow} \text{ is strictly below } i^{\downarrow} \\ L_{i-1} - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m$. The k-cocharge of T is the sum of the entries of $L(T)$,

$$k\text{-}cocharge(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_i \,.$$

Example 13. *For the k-tableau T of Example 11, Table 1 shows us that the k-cocharge$(T) = 13$.*

In contrast to the cocharge from [LS78], Definition 12 does not suggest that the k-cocharge of a standard k-tableau must be non-negative. However, the compatability of k-cocharge with the k-shape poset for standard k-tableau T implies that k-cocharge$(T) \geq 0$ in this case [LP14]. Lapointe-Pinto also defined the statistic for semi-standard k-tableaux, but serious obstructions to extending the compatibility between k-cocharge and the weak bijection in the general case left the non-negativity of k-cocharge unresolved.

In private communication, Morse provided a different, manifestly non-negative, statistic on k-tableaux and she conjectured it to be equivalent to Definition 12. We recall her definition for standard k-tableaux and start by proving her conjecture in this case. This requires a *residue order* on a k-tableau.

Definition 14. *Let T be a standard k-tableau. The low T-residue order of $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ is defined by*

$$x > x+1 > \cdots > k > 0 > 1 > \cdots > x-1\,,$$

where x is the residue of the lowest addable cell of T. If the standard k-tableau T is of weight (1^m), then $T_{\leq i}$ are those cells of T filled with a letter $j \leq i$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

Example 15. *For the standard k-tableau T of Example 11, we see that*

$$T_{\leq 5} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 5_3 \\ \cline{1-1} 4_4 \\ \cline{1-1} \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{1_0} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{2_1} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{3_2} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{5_3} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The low T-residue order is $2 > 3 > 4 > 0 > 1$, and the low $T_{\leq 5}$-residue order is $4 > 0 > 1 > 2 > 3$, which is also the low $T_{\leq 6}$-residue order.

Morse's statistic [Mor15, Tho14] for standard k-tableau also involves an index vector, defined recursively using the residue order of Definition 14.

Definition 16. *Given a standard k-tableau T of weight (1^m), define the index vector $M(T) = [M_1, \ldots, M_m]$ recursively by setting $M_1 = 0$, and*

$$M_i = \begin{cases} M_{i-1} + 1 & \text{if } res(i) > res(i-1) \\ M_{i-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $res(i)$ and $res(i-1)$ is compared using the low $T_{\leq i}$-residue order, for $2 \leq i \leq m$.

Theorem 17. *For a standard k-tableau T of weight (1^m),*

$$k\text{-}cocharge(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(M_i + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) \right)\,,$$

where $c_{(i)}$ is the lowest addable cell of $T_{\leq i}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the weight of T. The base case of $i = 1$ is trivial as we get $L_1 = M_1$. Next suppose that $L_{i-1} = M_{i-1} + diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c_{i-1})$ and the last cell in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$ is of residue r. The inductive step is proved by considering cases. Suppose there is a lowest cell c of residue r that is not in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$, and $(i-1)^{\downarrow}$ is weakly north-west of c while $i^{\downarrow}$ is weakly south-east of c, and $i^{\downarrow}$ is not in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$.

On the one hand if $res(i) > res(i-1)$, then $M_i = M_{i-1} + 1 + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})$. If $i^{\downarrow}$ is not in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$, then $c_{(i-1)} = c_{(i)}$. This says

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= L_{i-1} - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) \\ &= M_{i-1} + diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i-1)}) - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) \\ &= M_{i-1} + diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}). \end{aligned}$$

Now if c is the lowest extremal cell of residue r that is not in the bottom row, then $diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) = diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c) + 1 + diag(c, c_{(i)})$. Since the $res(i) > res(i-1)$ and $i^{\downarrow}$ is weakly south-east of c, then $diag(c, c_{(i)}) = diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})$. Thus, $diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) = diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c) + 1 + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= M_{i-1} + diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) \\ &= M_{i-1} + 1 + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) + diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c) - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}). \end{aligned}$$

If $(i-1)^{\downarrow}$ is weakly north-west of c and $i^{\downarrow}$ is weakly south-east of c, then $diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c) = diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, i^{\downarrow})$. This along with the fact that $c_{(i-1)} = c_{(i)}$, gives $L_i = M_i$.

On the other hand if the $res(i) < res(i-1)$, then $M_i = M_{i-1} + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})$. The proof for this case follows almost similar to the proof for the case above, except this time $diag((i-1)^{\downarrow}, c) + 1 = diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow})$ because $res(i) < res(i-1)$.

The other cases, which are left to the reader to prove, are

1. $i^{\downarrow}$ is weakly south-east of $(i-1)^{\downarrow}$ in $T_{\leq i}$.
   (a) $(i-1)^{\downarrow}$ is weakly south-east of any extremal cell of residue r that is not in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$, and $i^{\downarrow}$ is in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$.
   (b) There is a lowest cell c of residue r that is not in the bottom row of $T_{\leq i}$, and both $(i-1)^{\downarrow}$ and $i^{\downarrow}$ are weakly north-west of c.
2. $(i-1)^{\downarrow}$ is south-east of $i^{\downarrow}$, along with the sub-cases as above.

□

Example 18. *For the k-tableau T of weight (1^9) from Example 11, Table 1 shows us that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{9} \left(M_i + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) \right) = 13\,.$$

This agrees with Theorem 17 since Example 13 told us that the k-cocharge$(T) = 13$.

5 k-cocharge of a semi-standard k-tableau

The Lascoux and Schützenberger cocharge statistic on a semi-standard tableau is an extension of the cocharge statistic on a standard tableau. To do this for k-cocharge on a k-tableau T, a method for making an appropriate choice of standard sequences on T is required.

Definition 19. *Let T be a semi-standard k-tableau. Construct each standard sequence iteratively by*

i	$\mathrm{diag}(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow})$	L_i	Low $T_{\leq i}$-residue order	M_i	$\mathrm{diag}(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})$
1	-	0	-	0	0
2	0	$0-0=0$	$2>3>4>0>1$	0	0
3	0	$0-0=0$	$3>4>0>1>2$	0	0
4	0	$0+1+0=1$	$3>4>0>1>2$	1	0
5	0	$1-0=1$	$4>0>1>2>3$	1	0
6	0	$1+1+0=2$	$4>0>1>2>3$	2	0
7	0	$2-0=2$	$0>1>2>3>4$	2	0
8	1	$2+1+1=4$	$0>1>2>3>4$	3	1
9	1	$4-1=3$	$1>2>3>4>0$	3	0

Table 1: k-cocharge of T from Example 11

1. *first choosing the right-most cell in T filled with a* 1 *which has not been chosen in a previous standard sequence.*

2. *Having chosen an $i-1$, of some residue r, the appropriate choice of i will be determined by considering the residues of only those i's which haven't been chosen in a previous standard sequence. Label all the residues $0, 1, \ldots, k$ on a circle clockwise. The appropriate choice of i is the one whose residue is closest to r reading counter-clockwise from r on the circle.*

Observe that Definition 19 is well defined since the collection of cells filled with the letter i are labeled by exactly α_i distinct residues, where α, the weight of the k-tableau, is a partition whose parts are not larger than k. Definition 19 also shows us a way to iteratively compute a set of standard sequences for a given semi-standard k-tableau.

Example 20. *For $k = 4$, a k-tableau of weight $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)$ is*

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\mathbf{7_0} \\
\hline
\mathbf{6_1} \\
\hline
\mathbf{5_2} & 6_3 \\
\hline
\mathbf{3_3} & 4_4 & \mathbf{7_0} \\
\hline
\mathbf{2_4} & 3_0 & 5_1 & \mathbf{5_2} & 6_3 \\
\hline
1_0 & \mathbf{1_1} & 2_2 & \mathbf{3_3} & 4_4 & \mathbf{4_0} & 5_1 & \mathbf{5_2} & 6_3 \\
\hline
\end{array}$$



The bold cells of T shows the first standard sequence of cells using Definition 19. The set of residues labeling 5 *in T is* $\{1, 2\}$*, and the residue of* 4 *in the first standard sequence is* 0*. Therefore, the choice of residue* 2 *from* $\{1, 2\}$ *is made because* 2 *is closer to* 0 *than* 1 *when reading counter-clockwise on the above circle labeled with all the residues. The cells which are not in bold form the second standard sequence of cells.*

The k-cocharge definition for a standard k-tableau extends to a semi-standard k-tableau T by summing over the standard sequences of T.

Definition 21. *Let T be a semi-standard k-tableau. For each standard sequence s of T, define the index vector $L^{(s)}(T) = [L_1^{(s)}, \ldots, L_{\ell(s)}^{(s)}]$ recursively by setting $L_1^{(s)} = 0$, and*

$$L_i^{(s)} = \begin{cases} L_{i-1}^{(s)} + 1 + diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) & \text{if } (i-1)^{\downarrow} \text{ is strictly below } i^{\downarrow} \text{ in } s \\ L_{i-1}^{(s)} - diag(i^{\downarrow}, (i-1)^{\downarrow}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq \ell(s)$, where $\ell(s)$ is the length of s. If S is the set of all standard sequences, then the k-cocharge of T is

$$k\text{-cocharge}(T) = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} L_i \, .$$

Example 22. *For the semi-standard k-tableau T of Example 20, Definition 21 says that the k-cocharge$(T) =$* 15.

Definition 21 does not make the non-negativity of k-cocharge apparent. Again, we instead match the definition with Morse's semi-standard statistic defined as follows.

Definition 23. *For a semi-standard k-tableau T which has cells of residue r and filled with i, define $T_{\leq i_r}$ as those cells of T filled with $j \leq i$, where j is in the same standard sequence as i. The low $T_{\leq i_r}$-residue order of $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ is defined by*

$$x > x+1 > \cdots > k > 0 > 1 > \cdots > x-1$$

where x is the residue of the lowest addable cell of $T_{\leq i_r}$.

Example 24. *For $k = 4$, since the second standard sequence of the semi-standard k-tableau T of Example 20 are the bold cells of*

$$\begin{array}{llllllllll} \boxed{7_0} & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{6_1} & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{5_2} & \boxed{6_3} & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{3_3} & \boxed{4_4} & \boxed{7_0} & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{2_4} & \boxed{3_0} & \boxed{5_1} & \boxed{5_2} & \boxed{6_3} & & & & & \\ \boxed{1_0} & \boxed{1_1} & \boxed{2_2} & \boxed{3_3} & \boxed{4_4} & \boxed{4_0} & \boxed{5_1} & \boxed{5_2} & \boxed{6_3} & \end{array} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad T_{\leq 5_1} = \begin{array}{llll} \boxed{4_4} & & & \\ \boxed{3_0} & \boxed{5_1} & & \\ \boxed{1_0} \quad \boxed{2_2} & & \boxed{4_4} & \boxed{5_1} \end{array}$$

The lowest addable cell of $T_{\leq 5_1}$ has residue 2, so the low $T_{\leq 5_1}$-residue order is $2 > 3 > 4 > 0 > 1$. Observe that the 5 of residue 2 is in the first standard sequence of T. Since the lowest addable cell of $T_{\leq 5_2}$ is of residue 3, then the $T_{\leq 5_2}$-residue order is $3 > 4 > 0 > 1 > 2$.

The alternate form of the k-cocharge for a semi-standard k-tableau involves multiple index vector's. Each index vector corresponds to a standard sequence of the semi-standard k-tableau, and it is defined recursively using the residue order of Definition 23.

Definition 25. *Let T be a semi-standard k-tableau. For each standard sequence s of T, define the index vector $M^{(s)}(T) = [M_1^{(s)}, \ldots, M_{\ell(s)}^{(s)}]$ recursively by setting $M_1^{(s)} = 0$, and*

$$M_i^{(s)} = \begin{cases} M_{i-1}^{(s)} + 1 & \text{if } res(i) > res(i-1) \\ M_{i-1}^{(s)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $res(i)$ and $res(i-1)$ are compared using the low $T_{\leq i_r}$-residue order for each $i \in s$.

For a given semi-standard k-tableau T, summing over the standard sequences of T gives us a corollary to Theorem 17.

Corollary 26. *Let T be a semi-standard k-tableau. If S is the set of all standard sequences of T, then the non-negative*

$$k\text{-}cocharge(T) = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} \left(M_i^{(s)} + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) \right),$$

where $i^{\downarrow}$ is the lowest occurrence of i in s and $c_{(i)}$ is the lowest addable cell of $T_{\leq i_r}$.

Example 27. *For the k-tableau T of Example 20, we have the two standard sequences by Definition 19*



&



Corollary 26 tells us that the k-cocharge$(T) = 16$.

A k-tableau of shape $(k+1)$-core λ has no cells of hook-length larger than k if and only if $k > \lambda_1 + \ell(\lambda) - 2$. For these large values of k, the k-tableau is a semi-standard tableau of the same weight. An observation of Corollary 26 is that when the k-tableau has shape $(k+1)$-core λ whose cells have hook-length less than $k+1$, the k-cocharge of the k-tableau is the cocharge from [LS78].

6 k-charge of a k-tableau

In this section we begin by recalling the definition of k-charge of a standard k-tableau from [LP14]. Our goal is to show that this definition is equivalent to Morse's non-negative formulation [Mor15, Tho14].

Definition 28. *[LP14] Given a standard k-tableau T of weight (1^m), the highest occurrence of i will be denoted $i^{\uparrow}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Define the index vector $I(T) = [I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m]$ recursively by setting $I_1 = 0$, and*

$$I_i = \begin{cases} I_{i-1} + 1 + diag(i^{\uparrow}, (i-1)^{\uparrow}) & \text{if } i^{\uparrow} \text{ is east of } (i-1)^{\uparrow} \\ I_{i-1} - diag(i^{\uparrow}, (i-1)^{\uparrow}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m$. The k-charge of T is the sum of the entries of $I(T)$,

$$k\text{-}charge(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_i.$$

Example 29. *For $k = 4$, recall the k-tableau from Example 11 is*

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 8_2 \\ \cline{1-2} 5_3 & 7_4 \\ \cline{1-2} 4_4 & 6_0 \\ \hline 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 & 5_3 & 7_4 & 9_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Applying Definition 28 for this T, Table 2 shows us that the k-charge$(T) = 21$.

Similar to the k-cocharge of Definition 12, it is not immediately clear that the k-charge is a non-negative integer and we use Morse's non-negative formulation [Mor15, Tho14] which arises by altering the residue order in the natural way.

Definition 30. *The high T-residue order of $\{0, \ldots, k\}$ is defined by*

$$x > x - 1 > \ldots > 0 > k > \ldots > x + 1\,,$$

where x is the residue of the highest addable corner of T.

Example 31. *For the standard k-tableau T of Example 29, we see that*

$$T_{\leq 5} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 5_3 \\ \cline{1-1} 4_4 \\ \cline{1-1} 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 & 5_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The high T-residue order is $0 > 1 > 2 > 3 > 4$, and the high $T_{\leq 5}$-residue order is $2 > 1 > 0 > 4 > 3$, which is also the high $T_{\leq 6}$-residue order and the high $T_{\leq 7}$-residue order.

Definition 32. *Given a standard k-tableau T of weight (1^m), define the index vector $J(T) = [J_1, \ldots, J_m]$, starting from $J_1 = 0$, by setting for $i = 2, \ldots, m$,*

$$J_i = \begin{cases} J_{i-1} + 1 & \text{if } res(i) > res(i-1) \\ J_{i-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $res(i)$ and $res(i-1)$ is compared using the high $T_{\leq i}$-residue order.

Example 33. *For the k-tableau T of weight (1^9) of Example 29, we see that Table 2 gives us that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{9} \left(J_i + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)}) \right) = 21\,.$$

Example 29 also told us that this sum is k-charge(T).

i	diag$(i^{\uparrow}, (i-1)^{\uparrow})$	I_i	High $T_{\leq i}$-residue order	J_i	diag$(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)})$
1	-	0	-	0	0
2	0	$0+1+0=1$	$4>3>2>1>0$	1	0
3	0	$1+1+0=2$	$4>3>2>1>0$	2	0
4	0	$2-0=2$	$3>2>1>0>4$	2	0
5	0	$2-0=2$	$2>1>0>4>3$	2	0
6	0	$2+1-0=3$	$2>1>0>4>3$	3	0
7	0	$3-0=3$	$2>1>0>4>3$	3	0
8	0	$3-0=3$	$1>0>4>3>2$	3	0
9	1	$3+1+1=5$	$1>0>4>3>2$	4	1

Table 2: k-charge of T from Example 11

Just as we showed a non-negative reformulation the k-cocharge of a standard k-tableau in Section 4, we will show that Definition 32 is a non-negative reformulation of the k-charge of a standard k-tableau. In fact, we will show that it gives a non-negative reformulation of the k-charge for a semi-standard k-tableau. We begin with some key Lemma's.

Lemma 34. *If T is a standard k-tableau of weight (1^m), then any diagonal of the same residue as i and between the diagonals with $i^{\uparrow}$ and $i^{\downarrow}$ must also have an i, for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, let c be the highest cell on any diagonal of $T_{\leq i}$, where c is of the same reside as $i^{\uparrow}$ and the diagonal with c is between the diagonals with $i^{\uparrow}$ and $i^{\downarrow}$. If c is of the same residue as $i^{\uparrow}$ and $i^{\downarrow}$, then Proposition 4 tells us that c is at the end of its row and at the top of its column in $T_{\leq i}$. Remark 5 tells us that c must contain an i. □

Lemma 35. *Let T be a standard k-tableau of weight (1^m). If β_i is the number of cells of T filled with i, then*

$$\beta_i + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)}) + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})$$

is the number of diagonals of residue $res(i)$ in $T_{\leq i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. In $T_{\leq i}$, there is a diagonal of residue $res(c^{(i)})$ above the diagonal with $i^{\uparrow}$ if and only if there is a diagonal of residue $res(i)$ above the diagonal with $i^{\uparrow}$. Similarly, in $T_{\leq i}$, there is a diagonal of residue $res(c_{(i)})$ below the diagonal with $i^{\downarrow}$ if and only if there is a diagonal of residue $res(i)$ below the diagonal with $i^{\downarrow}$. Lemma 34 tells us that β_i is the number of diagonals of residue $res(i)$ between the diagonals with $i^{\uparrow}$ and $i^{\downarrow}$ in $T_{\leq i}$. □

Using Lemma 35 and the following definition, we show the connection between Morse's statistics [Mor15, Tho14] of Definition's 16 and 32.

Definition 36. *The k-interior of a partition λ is the sub-partition made of the cells of λ with hook-length larger than k:*

$$Int^k(\lambda) = \{c \in \lambda \mid h_\lambda(c) > k\}\,.$$

For a standard k-tableau T of shape λ and weight (1^m), observe that

$$|Int^k(\lambda)| = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(\beta_i - 1)\,,$$

where β_i is the number of cells of T filled with i.

Theorem 37. *Given a standard k-tableau T of weight (1^m) and shape λ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(J_i + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)})\right) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} - |Int^k(\lambda)| - \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(M_i + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)})\right)\,.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on the weight of T. The case when $i = 1$ is clear. Using the induction hypothesis, we must show that

$$J_{i+1} + diag((i+1)^{\uparrow}, c^{(i+1)}) = i - \beta_{i+1} + 1 - M_{i+1} - diag((i+1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i+1)})\,,$$

where β_{i+1} is the number of cells of T filled with $i+1$.

We first consider the case that $res(i+1) > res(i)$ under both the high and low $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order. Under this case we have $J_{i+1} = J_i + 1$, $M_{i+1} = M_i + 1$ and the number of diagonals of residue $res(i)$ is one more than the number of diagonals with residue $res(i+1)$ in $T_{\leq i+1}$. This tells us that $J_{i+1} + diag((i+1)^{\uparrow}, c^{(i+1)}) = J_i + 1 + diag((i+1)^{\uparrow}, c^{(i+1)})$. The induction hypothesis tells us that $J_i + 1 + diag((i+1)^{\uparrow}, c^{(i+1)})$ is equal to

$$i - 1 - \beta_i + 1 - M_i - diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) - diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)}) + 1 + diag((i+1)^{\uparrow}, c^{(i+1)})\,. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 35 tells us that $\beta_i + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)})$ is the number of diagonals of residue $res(i)$ in $T_{\leq i}$. The number of diagonals of residue $res(i)$ is one more than the number of diagonals of residue $res(i+1)$ in $T_{\leq i+1}$. Lemma 35 tells us again that the number of diagonals of residue $res(i+1)$ in $T_{\leq i+1}$ is $\beta_{i+1} + diag((i+1)^{\uparrow}, c^{(i+1)}) + diag((i+1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i+1)})$. Furthermore, since $M_{i+1} = M_i + 1$, then (5) reduces to $i - \beta_{i+1} + 1 - M_{i+1} - diag((i+1)^{\downarrow}, c_{(i+1)})$.

The other cases, which are left to the reader to prove, are

1. $res(i+1) > res(i)$ under the high $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order, and $res(i+1) < res(i)$ under the low $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order.
2. $res(i+1) < res(i)$ under the high $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order, and $res(i+1) > res(i)$ under the low $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order.
3. $res(i+1) < res(i)$ under the high $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order, and $res(i+1) < res(i)$ under the low $T_{\leq i+1}$-residue order.

□

Example 38. *For $k = 4$, recall that the standard k-tableau of weight (1^9) from Example 11 is*

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 8_2 \\ \cline{1-2} 5_3 & 7_4 \\ \cline{1-2} 4_4 & 6_0 \\ \hline 1_0 & 2_1 & 3_2 & 5_3 & 7_4 & 9_0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

For this T, Example's 18 and 33 tell us

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(M_i + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) \right) = 13 \quad \& \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(J_i + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)}) \right) = 21 \,.$$

These equations along with the fact that $|Int^4((7,3,2,1,1))| = 2$ and $10(10-1)/2 = 36$ agrees with Theorem 37.

For a given semi-standard k-tableau T, we can sum over the standard sequences of T to generalize Theorem 37.

Theorem 39. *Let T be a semi-standard k-tableau of weight μ and shape λ. If S is the set of all standard sequences of T, then*

$$\sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} \left(J_i^{(s)} + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)}) \right) = n(\mu) - |Int^k(\lambda)| - \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} \left(M_i^{(s)} + diag(i^{\downarrow}, c_{(i)}) \right),$$

where $i^{\uparrow}$ and $i^{\downarrow}$ are the highest and lowest occurrences of i in s, respectively, and $c^{(i)}$ and $c_{(i)}$ are the highest and lowest addable cells of $T_{\leq i_r}$, respectively.

Proof. Given the k-tableau T, let S be the set of all standard sequences from Definition 19. Each standard sequence $s \in S$ contributes $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} (\beta_i - 1)$ to $|Int^k(\lambda)|$, where β_i is the number of cells of T filled with $i \in s$. Summing over all the standard sequences of S, we see that

$$|Int^k(\lambda)| = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} (\beta_i - 1) \,,$$

where λ is the shape of T.

For the $n(\mu)$ term, each standard sequence $s \in S$ contributes $(\ell(s)(\ell(s)-1))/2$ to $n(\mu)$. Summing over the standard sequences of S, we see that

$$n(\mu) = \sum_{s \in S} \left(\frac{\ell(s)(\ell(s)-1)}{2} \right),$$

where μ is the weight of T. Applying Theorem 37 to each standard sequence $s \in S$ finishes the proof. □

We have just shown that Morse's non-negative reformulation of the k-cocharge is related to a generalization of Definition 32. Furthermore, it is the work of [LP14] which relates Definition 12 of the k-cocharge to Definition 28 of the k-charge of a semi-standard k-tableau.

Theorem 40. *[LP14] Given a semi-standard k-tableau T of weight μ and shape λ,*

$$k\text{-}charge(T) = n(\mu) - |Int^k(\lambda)| - k\text{-}cocharge(T).$$

Finally, we can state a non-negative reformulation of the k-charge of a semi-standard k-tableau by applying Corollary 26 and Theorem's 39 and 40.

Corollary 41. *Let T be a semi-standard k-tableau. If S is the set of all standard sequences of T, then the non-negative*

$$k\text{-}charge(T) = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(s)} \left(J_i^{(s)} + diag(i^{\uparrow}, c^{(i)}) \right),$$

where $i^{\uparrow}$ is the highest occurrence of i in s and $c^{(i)}$ is the highest addable cell of $T_{\leq i_r}$.

Example 42. *For $k = 4$, recall the semi-standard k-tableau from Example 20 is*

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\mathbf{7_0} \\
\mathbf{6_1} \\
\mathbf{5_2} & 6_3 \\
\mathbf{3_3} & 4_4 & \mathbf{7_0} \\
\mathbf{2_4} & 3_0 & 5_1 & \mathbf{5_2} & 6_3 \\
\hline
1_0 & \mathbf{1_1} & 2_2 & \mathbf{3_3} & 4_4 & \mathbf{4_0} & 5_1 & \mathbf{5_2} & 6_3 \\
\hline
\end{array}$$

where the bold cells show the first standard sequence of cells. Table 3 gives $k\text{-}charge(T) = 12$.

7 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank L. Lapointe, J. Morse, A. Schilling and M. Zabrocki for inspirational conversations through out the research and writing of this paper.

References

[AB12] S. Assaf and S. Billey. Affine dual equivalence and k-Schur functions. *J. Comb.*, 3(3):343–399, 2012.

[Bla11] J. Blasiak. Cyclage, catabolism, and the affine Hecke algebra. *Advances in Mathematics*, 228(4):2292–2351, 2011.

[DM15] Avinash Dalal and Jennifer Morse. Quantum and affine Schubert calculus and Macdonald polynomials. *Submitted*, 2015.

i_r	$\mathrm{diag}(i^{\uparrow},(i-1)^{\uparrow})$	I_i	High $T_{\leq i_r}$-residue order	J_i	$\mathrm{diag}(i^{\uparrow},c^{(i)})$
1_1	-	0	-	0	0
2_4	0	$0-0=0$	$3>2>1>0>4$	0	0
3_3	0	$0-0=0$	$2>1>0>4>3$	0	0
4_0	1	$0+1+1=2$	$2>1>0>4>3$	1	1
5_2	0	$2-1=1$	$1>0>4>3>2$	1	0
6_1	0	$1-0=1$	$0>4>3>2>1$	1	0
7_0	0	$1-0=1$	$4>3>2>1>0$	1	0
1_0	-	0	-	0	0
2_2	0	$0+1+0=1$	$4>3>2>1>0$	1	0
3_0	0	$1-0=1$	$3>2>1>0>4$	1	0
4_4	0	$1-0=1$	$2>1>0>4>3$	1	0
5_1	0	$1+1+0=2$	$2>1>0>4>3$	2	0
6_3	0	$2-0=2$	$1>0>4>3>2$	2	0

Table 3: k-charge of T from Example 20

[GP92] A. M. Garsia and C. Procesi. On certain graded S_n-modules and the q-Kostka polynomials. *Adv. Math.*, 87:82–138, 1992.

[Gre55] J. A. Green. The characters of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80:442–407, 1955.

[LLM03] L. Lapointe, A. Lascoux, and J. Morse. Tableau atoms and a new Macdonald positivity conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 116(1):103–146, 2003.

[LLMS10] T. Lam, L. Lapointe, J. Morse, and M. Shimozono. Affine insertion and Pieri rules for the affine Grassmannian. *Memoirs of the AMS*, 208(977), 2010.

[LLMS12] T. Lam, L. Lapointe, J. Morse, and M. Shimozono. *The poset of k-shapes and branching of k-Schur functions*. To appear in Memoirs of the AMS, 2012.

[LM05a] L. Lapointe and J. Morse. Tableaux on $k+1$-cores, reduced words for affine permutations, and k-Schur function expansions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.*, 112:44–81, 2005.

[LM05b] L. Lapointe and J. Morse. Tableaux on $k+1$-cores, reduced words for affine permutations, and k-Schur function expansions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.*, 112(1):44–81, 2005.

[LP14] Luc Lapointe and María Elena Pinto. Charge on tableaux and the poset of k-shapes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 121:1–33, 2014.

[LS78] A. Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger. Sur une conjecture de H.O. Foulkes. *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, 294:323–324, 1978.

[Lus81] G. Lusztig. Singularities, character formulas, and a q-analog of weight multiplicities. *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy)*, pages 101–102, 1981.

[Mac88] I. G. Macdonald. *A new class of symmetric functions*. Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, **B20a** 41pp, 1988.

[Mor15] Jennifer Morse, 2014-2015. Private communication.

[MS15] J. Morse and A. Schilling. Affine charge and the k-bounded Pieri rule. *DMTCS Proceedings*, 2015.

[NY97] A. Nakayashiki and Y. Yamada. Kostka polynomials and energy functions in solvable lattice models. *Selecta Math*, 3:547–599, 1997.

[Tho14] Thomas Lam, Luc Lapointe, Jennifer Morse, Anne Schilling, Mark Shimozono, Mike Zabrocki. *k-Schur Functions and Affine Schubert Calculus*, volume 33. Springer-Verlag, Fields Institute Monographs, 2014.

A. Dalal, Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of West Florida, Pensacola, FL 32514.
E-mail: adalal@uwf.edu